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Which variable was the most important predictor of punitive case-processing orientations?

@ Learning Objective: 13-6: Explain the various roles of juvenile probation officers

a. Race

b. Tenure

c. Gender

\*d. Age
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Results of the study indicated that punishment and treatment were \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ goals of juvenile court probation officers.

@ Learning Objective: 13-6: Explain the various roles of juvenile probation officers

a. mutually exclusive

b. unrealistic

\*c. overlapping

d. largely unsubstantiated

Type: E
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The authors examine the influence of three theoretical frameworks on probation officers’ orientations. What are these three frameworks?

\*a. Court context, individual status characteristics, and relevant attitudinal resonances.

@ Learning Objective: 13-6: Explain the various roles of juvenile probation officers