

• References •

- Adams, Gary L., and Siegfried Engelmann. 1996. *Research in Direct Instruction: 25 Years Beyond DISTAR*. Seattle: Educational Achievement Systems.
- Althoff, Sarah E., Kristen J. Linde, John D. Mason, Ninja M. Nagel, and Katie A. O'Reilly. 2007. "Learning Objectives: Posting and Communicating Daily Learning Objectives to Increase Student Achievement and Motivation." ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED496125. Chicago: Saint Xavier University & Pearson Achievement Solutions.
- American Federation of Teachers. 1999. "Building From the Best, Learning From What Works: Five Promising Remedial Reading Intervention Programs." Washington, DC: American Federation of Teachers. Accessed July 2004. <http://www.aft.org/pubs-reports/downloads/teachers/remedial.pdf>.
- Anderson, John R., Albert T. Corbett, Kenneth R. Koedinger, and Ray Pelletier. 1995. "Cognitive Tutors: Lessons Learned." *Journal of the Learning Sciences* 4 (2): 167–207.
- Anderson, Lorin W., and David R. Krathwohl, eds. 2001. *A Taxonomy for Learning, Teaching, and Assessing: A Revision of Bloom's Taxonomy of Educational Outcomes*. Boston: Allyn & Bacon.
- Ar dovino, Joan, John Hollingsworth, and Silvia Ybarra. 2000. *Multiple Measures: Accurate Ways to Assess Student Achievement*. Thousand Oaks: Corwin.
- Bailey, Lora B., Steven B. Silvern, Edna Brabham, and Margaret Ross. 2004. "The Effects of Interactive Reading Homework and Parent Involvement on Children's Inference Responses." *Early Childhood Education Journal* 32 (3): 173–8.
- Baker, Scott, Russell Gersten, and Dae-Sik Lee. 2002. "A Synthesis of Empirical Research on Teaching Mathematics to Low-Achieving Students." *The Elementary School Journal* 103 (1): 51–73.
- Balli, Sandra J., John F. Wedman, and David H. Demo. 1997. "Family Involvement With Middle-Grades Homework: Effects of Differential Prompting." *Journal of Experimental Education* 66(Fall): 31–48.
- Bandura, Albert. 1977. *Social Learning Theory*. New York: General Learning Press.
- Barkley, Elizabeth F., Patricia Cross, and Claire Howell Major. 2014. *Collaborative Learning Techniques: A Handbook for College Faculty*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass/Wiley.
- Baumann, James F., Leah A. Jones, and Nancy Seifert-Kessell. 1993. "Using Think Alouds to Enhance Children's Comprehension Monitoring Abilities." *The Reading Teacher* 47 (November): 184–93.
- Bellon, Jerry, Elner Bellon, and Mary Ann Blank. 1992. *Teaching From a Research Knowledge Base: A Development and Renewal Process*. New York: Macmillan Publishing Company. 277–8.
- Bessellieu, Frances B. 2000. "Direct Instruction: Its Contributions to High School Achievement." *High School Journal* (December). Accessed February 2008. http://findarticles.com/p/articles/mi_hb139/is_200012/ai_n7840199.
- Black, Paul, and Dylan William. 1998. "Inside the Black Box: Raising Standards Through Classroom Assessment." *Phi Delta Kappan* October.
- Bloom, Benjamin Samuel, ed. 1956. *Taxonomy of Educational Objectives: The Classification of Educational Goals*. New York: Longmans, Green.
- Borman, Geoffrey, Gina M. Hewes, Laura T. Overman, and Shelly Brown. 2003. "Comprehensive School Reform and Student Achievement: A Meta-Analysis." *Review of Educational Research* 73 (2): 125–230.
- Bransford, John D., Ann L. Brown, and Rodney R. Cocking. 2000. *How People Learn: Brain, Mind, Experience, and School*. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Casteel, J. Doyle, and Robert J. Stahl. 1973. *The Social Science Observation Record: Theoretical Construct and Pilot Studies*. Gainesville: P. K. Yonge Laboratory School.
- Chall, Jeanne S. 2000. *The Academic Achievement Challenge: What Really Works in the Classroom?* New York: Guilford Press.
- Chen, Zhe, and David Klahr. 1999. "All Other Things Being Equal: Children's Acquisition of the Control of Variables Strategy." *Child Development* 70: 1098–1120.
- Clark, Richard E., Paul A. Kirschner, and John Sweller. Spring 2012. "Putting Students on the Path to Learning:

- The Case for Fully Guided Instruction." *American Educator* 36: 6–11.
- Clarke, Shirley. 2001. *Unlocking Formative Assessment: Practical Strategies for Enhancing Pupils' Learning in the Primary Classroom*. London: Hodder & Stoughton.
- Coker, H., C. W. Lorentz, and J. Coker. 1980, April 7–11. "Teacher Behavior and Student Outcomes in the Georgia Study." Paper presented at the American Educational Research Association Annual Meeting, Boston.
- Cotton, Kathleen. 1988. *Classroom Questioning*. Portland: Northwest Regional Educational Laboratory.
- Davey, Beth. 1983. "Think-Aloud: Modeling the Cognitive Processes of Reading Comprehension." *Journal of Reading* 27 (1): 44–7.
- Delpit, Lisa. 2006. *Other People's Children: Cultural Conflict in the Classroom*. Anniversary Ed. New York: New Press.
- Evans, Mary Ann, and Kenneth H. Rubin. 1979. "Hand Gestures as a Communicative Mode in School-Aged Children." *Journal of Genetic Psychology* 135 (2): 189–96.
- Evertson, Carolyn M., Charles W. Anderson, Linda M. Anderson, and Jere E. Brophy. 1980. "Relationships Between Classroom Behaviors and Student Outcomes in Junior High Mathematics and English Class." *American Educational Research Journal* 17 (1): 43–60.
- Evertson, Carolyn M., Edmund T. Emmer, and Jere E. Brophy. 1980. "Predictors of Effective Teaching in Junior High Mathematics Classrooms." *Journal of Research in Mathematics Education* 11 (3): 167–78.
- Fisher, Douglas, and Nancy Frey. 2004. *Checking for Understanding: Formative Assessment Techniques for Your Classroom*, 2nd Ed. Alexandria: ASCD.
- Fisher, Douglas, Nancy Frey, and Carol Rothenberg. 2008. *Content Area Conversations: How to Plan Discussion-Based Lessons for Diverse Language Learners*. Alexandria: ASCD.
- Gagen, Miscese. 2007. "Directional Tracking Explained" *Right Track Reading*. Accessed December 16, 2008. <http://www.righttrackreading.com/tracking.html>.
- Gagne, Robert. 1977. *The Conditions of Learning*. 3rd ed. New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.
- Gagne, Robert, and Leslie Briggs. 1979. *Principles of Instructional Design* (2nd ed.). New York: Holt, Rinehart, & Winston.
- Gagne, Robert, and Marcy Perkins Driscoll. 1974. *Essentials of Learning for Instruction*. 2nd ed. Hinsdale: The Dryden Press.
- Gelman, Rochel, and J. Greeno. 1989. "On the Nature of Competence." In *Knowing, Learning and Instruction*, edited by Lauren B. Resnick. Hillsdale: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Gilakjani, Abas Pourhosein. 2012. "The Significance of Pronunciation in English Language Teaching." *English Language Teaching* 5 (4).
- Goldenberg, Claude. 2006. "Improving Achievement for English-Learners: What the Research Tells Us." *Education Week* 25 (43): 34–6.
- Goldin-Meadow, Susan, San Kim, and Melissa Singer. 1999. "What the Teacher's Hands Tell the Student's Mind About Math." *Journal of Educational* 91 (4): 720–30.
- Good, Thomas L., and Douglas A. Grouws. 1979. "The Missouri Mathematics Effectiveness Project: An Experimental Study in Fourth-Grade Classrooms." *Journal of Educational Psychology* 71 (3): 355–62.
- Graham, Steve. 2005. "Strategy Instruction and the Teaching of Writing: A Meta-Analysis." In *Handbook of Writing Research*, edited by Charles A. MacArthur, Steve Graham, and Jill Fitzpatrick, 187–208. New York: Guilford Press.
- Hake, Richard. 1992. "Socratic Pedagogy in the Introductory Physics Laboratory." *The Physics Teacher* 30 (9): 546–52.
- Hattie, John. 2009. *Visible Learning: A Synthesis of Over 800 Meta-Analyses Relating to Achievement*. New York: Routledge.
- Hennings, Dorothy G. 1993. "On Knowing and Reading History." *Journal of Reading* 36 (5): 362–70.
- Hiebert, James, and Thomas P. Carpenter. 1992. "Learning and Teaching With Understanding." In *Handbook of Research on Mathematics Teaching and Learning*, edited by Douglas A. Grouws, 65–97. New York: MacMillan.
- Hollingsworth, John, and Silvia Ybarra. 2009. *Explicit Direct Instruction: The Power of the Well-Crafted, Well-Taught Lesson*. Thousand Oaks: Corwin.
- Hollingsworth, John, and Silvia Ybarra. 2013. *Explicit Direct Instruction for English Learners*. Thousand Oaks: Corwin.
- House, J. Daniel. 2004. "The Effects of Homework Activities and Teaching Strategies for New Mathematics Topics on Achievement of Adolescent Students in Japan: Results From the TIMSS 1999 Assessment." *International Journal of Instructional Media* 31 (2): 199–210.
- Huitt, W. (2003). Classroom instruction. *Educational Psychology Interactive*. Valdosta, GA: Valdosta State University. Retrieved from <http://www.edpsycinteractive.org/topics/instruct/instruct.html>
- Hunter, Madeline. 1982. *Mastery Teaching*. El Segundo: Tip Publication.
- Hunter, Robin. 2004. *Madeline Hunter's Mastery Teaching: Increasing Instructional Effectiveness in Elementary and Secondary Schools*. Thousand Oaks: Corwin.

- Ivey, Gay. 2002. "Building Comprehension When They're Still Learning to Read the Words." In *Comprehension Instruction: Research-Based Best Practices*, edited by Cathy C. Block and Michael Pressley, 234–46. New York: Guilford Press.
- Ivey, Gay, and Karen Broaddus. 2001. "'Just Plain Reading': A Survey of What Makes Students Want to Read in Middle School Classrooms." *Reading Research Quarterly* 36 (4): 350–71.
- Jencks, Christopher, and Meredith Phillips. 1998. *The Black-White Test Score Gap: An Introduction*. Washington, DC: Brookings Institution.
- Klahr, David, and Sharon McCoy Carver. 1988. "Cognitive Objectives in a LOGO Debugging Curriculum: Instruction, Learning, and Transfer." *Cognitive Psychology* 20 (3): 362–404.
- Klahr, David, and M. Nigam. 2004. "The Equivalence of Learning Paths in Early Science Instruction: Effects of Direct Instruction and Discovery Learning." *Psychological Science* 15:661–7.
- Korwin, Anthony R., and Ronald E. Jones. 1990. "Do Hands-On, Technology-Based Activities Enhance Learning by Reinforcing Cognitive Knowledge and Retention?" *Journal of Technology Education* 1 (Spring).
- Kuhn, Deanna, John Black, Alla Keselman, and Danielle Kaplan. 2000. "The Development of Cognitive Skills to Support Inquire Learning." *Cognition & Instruction* 18 (4): 495–523.
- Levy, Holli M. 2008. "Meeting the Needs of All Students through Differentiated Instruction: Helping Every Child Reach and Exceed Standards." *Clearing House* 81 (4).
- Lumsden, L. 2000. "Student Motivation to Learn." ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED370200. Eugene: ERIC Clearinghouse on Educational Management.
- Marzano, Robert J. 1998. *A Theory-Based Meta-Analysis of Research on Instruction*. Aurora: Mid-Continent Research for Education and Learning.
- Marzano, Robert J. 2017. *The New Art and Science of Teaching*. Bloomington: Solution Tree.
- Marzano, Robert J., Debra J. Pickering, and Jane E. Pollock. 2001. *Research-Based Strategies for Increasing Student Achievement*. Alexandria: Association for Supervision & Curriculum Development.
- Marzano, Robert J., Debra J. Pickering, and Tammy Heflebower. 2011. *The Highly Engaged Classroom*. Bloomington: Marzano Research.
- Mathes, Patricia G., Joseph K. Torgesen, Jeanine Clancy-Menchetti, Kristi Santi, Karen Nicholas, Carol Robinson, and Marcia Grek. 2003. "A Comparison of Teacher-Directed Versus Peer-Assisted Instruction to Struggling First-Grade Readers." *The Elementary School Journal* 103 (5): 459–80.
- Mayer, Richard E. 1974. "Acquisition Processes and Resilience Under Varying Testing Conditions for Structurally Different Problem-Solving Procedures." *Journal of Educational Psychology* 66 (5): 644–56.
- Mayer, Richard E., Christian C. Stiehl, and James G. Greeno. 1975. "Acquisition of Understanding and Skill in Relation to Subjects' Preparation and Meaningfulness of Instruction." *Journal of Educational Psychology* 67: 331–50.
- Muhammad, Anthony, and Sharroky Hollie. 2011. *The Will to Lead, The Skill to Teach: Transforming Schools at Every Level*. Bloomington: Solution Tree.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. 1991. *Professional Standards for Teaching Mathematics*. Reston: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics. 2000. *Principles and Standards for School Mathematics*. Reston: National Council of Teachers of Mathematics.
- National Institute for Literacy. 2007. "Report of the National Institute for Literacy: What Content-Area Teachers Should Know About Adolescent Literacy." Jessup: EdPubs. http://www.nifl.gov/nifl/publications/adolescent_literacy07.pdf.
- National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. 2000. "Report of the National Reading Panel. Teaching Children to Read: An Evidence-Based Assessment of the Scientific Research Literature on Reading and Its Implications for Reading Instruction." NIH Publication No. 00–4754. Washington, DC: United States Government Printing Office.
- Nokes, Jeffrey D., and Janice A. Dole. 2004. "Helping Adolescent Readers Through Explicit Strategy Instruction." In *Adolescent Literacy Research and Practice*, edited by Tamara L. Jetton and Janice A. Dole, 162–82. New York: Guilford Press.
- Olshavsky, Jill E. "Reading as Problem-Solving: An Investigation of Strategies." *Reading Research Quarterly* 12, no. 4 (1976–1977): 654–74.
- Opitz, Michael F., and Timothy V. Rasinski. 2008. *Good-bye Round Robin: 25 Effective Oral Reading Strategies*. Portsmouth: Heinemann.
- Pearson, P. D., and Janice A. Dole. 1987. "Explicit Comprehension in Instruction: A Review of Research and New Conceptualization of Instruction." *Elementary School Journal* 88 (2): 151–65.
- Pearson, P. David, and Margaret C. Gallagher. 1983. "The Instruction of Reading Comprehension." *Contemporary Educational Psychology* 8 (3): 317–44.

- Policy Studies Associates. 1995. *Raising the Educational Achievement of Secondary School Students: An Idea Book*. Vol. 1. *Summary of Promising Practices*. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Education. Accessed February 14, 2008. <http://www.ed.gov/pubs/Raising/v011>.
- Rao, Sumangala P., and Stephen E. Dicarolo. 2000. "Peer Instruction Improves Performance on Quizzes." *Advanced Physiology Education* 24 (December): 51–5.
- Response to Intervention Network. 1999. "What is RTI?" New York, NY: RTI Action Network. Accessed January 2017. <http://www.rtinetwork.org/learn/what/whatisrti>.
- Rittle-Johnson, Bethany. 2006. "Promoting Transfer: Effects of Self-Explanation and Direct Instruction." *Child Development* 77 (1): 1–15.
- Robertson, William C. 2008. "Teaching Conceptual Understanding to Promote Students' Ability to Do Transfer Problems." *Research Matters to the Science Teacher*. Reston: National Association for Research in Science Teaching.
- Rosenshine, Barak. 1995. "Advances in Research on Instruction." *Journal of Educational Research* 88 (5): 262–8.
- Rosenshine, Barak, and Carla Meister. 1992. "The Use of Scaffolds for Teaching Higher-Learning Cognitive Strategies." *Educational Leadership* 49 (7): 26–33.
- Rosenshine, Barak, and R. Stevens. 1986. "Teaching Functions." In *Handbook of Research on Teaching*, 3rd ed., edited by M. C. Wittrock, 376–91. New York: MacMillan.
- Rowe, Mary Budd. 1972. "Wait-Time and Rewards as Instructional Variables, Their Influence on Language, Logic, and Fate Control." Paper presented at the National Association for Research in Science Teaching. Chicago, Illinois. April.
- Rowe, Mary Budd. 1986. "Wait Time: Slowing Down May Be a Way of Speeding Up." *Journal of Teacher Education* 37: 43–50.
- Sanders, Norris M. 1966. *Classroom Questions: What Kinds*. New York: Harper & Row.
- Shanahan, Timothy. 2004. "Overcoming the Dominance of Communication: Writing to Think and Learn." In *Adolescent Literacy Research and Practice*, edited by Tamara L. Jetton and Janice A. Dole, 59–74. New York: Guilford Press.
- Shaywitz, Sally E., Jack M. Fletcher, John M. Holahan, Abigail E. Shneider, Karen E. Marchione, Karla K. Stuebing, David J. Francis, Kenneth R. Pugh, and Bennett A. Shaywitz. 1999. "Persistence of Dyslexia: The Connecticut Longitudinal Study at Adolescence." *Pediatrics* 104 (6): 1351–9.
- Singh, Kusum, Monique Granville, and Sandra Dika. 2002. "Mathematics and Science Achievement: Effects of Motivation, Interest, and Academic Engagement." *Journal of Educational Research* 95 (6): 323–32.
- Skinner, Ellen A., and Michael J. Belmont. 1993. "Motivation in the Classroom: Reciprocal Effects of Teacher Behavior and Student Engagement Across the School Year." *Journal of Educational Psychology* 85 (4): 571–81.
- Slavin, Robert E. 2014. *Educational Psychology: Theory and Practice*. Anniversary ed. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Snow, Catherine E., M. Susan Burns, and Peg Griffin, eds. 1998. *Preventing Reading Difficulties in Young Children*. Washington, DC: National Academies Press.
- Sousa, David. 2011. *How the Brain Learns*. 4th ed. Thousand Oaks: Corwin.
- Spires, Hiller A., Joan Gallini, and Jan Riggsbee. 1992. "Effects of Schema-Based and Text Structure-Based Cues on Expository Prose Comprehension in Fourth Graders." *Journal of Experimental Education* 60 (4): 307–20.
- Stahl, Robert J. 1990. *Using "Think-Time" Behaviors to Promote Students' Information Processing, Learning, and On-Task Participation: An Instructional Module*. Tempe: Arizona State University.
- Stallings, Jane A. 1974. *Follow-Through Classroom Observation 1972–1973—Executive Summary*. Menlo Park, CA: SRI International.
- Stallings, Jane, et al. 1978. *Early Childhood Education Classroom Evaluation*. Menlo Park, CA: SRI International.
- Stallings, Jane, et al. 1979. *How to Change the Process of Teaching Basic Reading Skills in Secondary Schools*. Menlo Park, CA: SRI International.
- Swan, Malcom. 1990. "Becoming Numerate: Developing Conceptual Structures." In *Being Numerate: What Counts?* edited by Sue Willis, 44–71. Melbourne: Australian Council for Educational Research.
- Tobin, Kenneth. 1987. "The Role of Wait Time in Higher Cognitive Level Learning." *Review of Educational Research* 57 (Spring): 69–95.
- Trautwein, Ulrich, Olaf Köller, Bernhard Schmitz, and Jürgen Baumert. 2002. "Do Homework Assignments Enhance Achievement? A Multilevel Analysis in Seventh-Grade Mathematics." *Contemporary Educational Psychology* 27 (1): 26–50.
- Twyman, Todd, Jennifer McCleery, and Gerald Tindal. 2006. "Using Concepts to Frame History Content." *Journal of Experimental Education* 74 (4): 331–49.

- Van Voorhis, F. L. 2003. "Interactive Homework in Middle School: Effects on Family Involvement and Science Achievement." *Journal of Educational Research* 96 (6): 323–38.
- von Glasersfeld, Eric. 1996. *Radical Constructivism in Mathematics Education*. Netherlands: Kluwer Academic Publishers. xiii–xx.
- Vosniadou, Stella, Christos Ioannides, Aggeliki Dimitrakopoulou, and Efi Papademetriou. 2001. "Designing Learning Environments to Promote Conceptual Change in Science." *Learning and Instruction* 11 (4–5): 381–419.
- Walberg, Herbert J., Rosanne A. Paschal, and Thomas Weinstein. 1985. "Homework's Powerful Effects on Learning." *Educational Leadership* 42 (7): 76–79.
- Walkerdine, Valerie. 1998. *Counting Girls Out: Girls and Mathematics*. London: Falmer Press.
- Watkins, Cathy L., and Timothy A. Slocum. 2004. "The Components of Direct Instruction." In *Introduction to Direct Instruction*, edited by Nancy E. Marchand-Martella, Timothy A. Slocum, and Ronald C. Martella, 28–65. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Wigdor, Alexandra K. 1999. "Is What We Don't Know Hurting Our Children." Testimony Before the House of Representatives Subcommittee on Basic Research, Committee on Science, Hearing on Education Research, October 26. Accessed February 2008. http://www7.nationalacademies.org/ocga/testimony/Education_Research.as.
- Willingham, Daniel T. 2006. "The Content's Best Modality Is Key." *Reading Rockets*. Accessed February 2008. <http://www.readingrockets.org/article/12447>.
- Wolfe, Pat. 1998. "How the Brain Learns." *Educational Leadership* 56 (3): 61–64.

• Index •

- Academic Relevance, 155
- Academic Vocabulary, 19, 49, 80, 208
- Access Common Core, 201
- Access to educational opportunities, 11
- Achievement, student
 - effective lessons and, 1–2
 - research on, 2–3
 - teaching grade level standards, 84–85
- Acquisition phase, 210
- Activating Prior Knowledge (APK),
 - 16, 89–103
 - defined, 90
 - EDI Circle, 89
 - EDI lesson design checklist, 202
 - Explicit Direct Instruction and, 94
 - importance of, 90
 - Learning Objectives and, 91
 - research on, 209
 - by reviewing concepts, 91
 - by reviewing skills, 91
 - in sample lesson analysis, 194–195
 - selecting knowledge to activate, 91
 - sub-skill review, 90, 92–94, 98–102, 189
 - Teach First, 46
 - time spent on, 94
 - Universal Experience, 90, 91–92, 94–98
 - using Student Engagement Norms, 94
 - vocabulary, “attaching a label,” 91
 - vs. assessing prior knowledge, 93
 - warm-up problems, 103, 185
 - ways of, 90
 - well-crafted lessons, creating, 185–186, 189
- Adjectives, Activating Prior Knowledge for, 101–102
- Air-tracking, 27
- Answers
 - allow students to change, 66
 - call on correct and incorrect, 66
 - in Educeri EDI lessons, 196
 - multiple-choice, 61–62, 64
 - point to, 52
 - strategies when students cannot answer, 60–63
 - students are not allowed to not know, 60
 - students on CFU questions, 46
 - TAPPLE example, 57
 - teach for success (80% and 100%), 59, 67, 165, 172
 - See also* Questions
- APK. *See* Activating Prior Knowledge
- Ardivino, Joan, 3
- Ask a Specific Question in TAPPLE, 47–48
- Assessment of prior knowledge, 93
- Assessment-Type Closure, 165–166, 168
- “Attaching a label” to a concept, 91
- Attention Signal, as Student Engagement Norm, 33–34
 - research on, 208
 - in TAPPLE, 53
 - training students in, 39
- Attention spans of students in pair-sharing, 49
- Australia, DataWORKS in, 5–6, 38
- Australian Curriculum: English, 82
- Automaticity, 179
- Big idea (concept) of Learning Objectives, 76, 78
- Brain research, 13, 207. *See also* Research
- Briggs, Leslie, 206
- Bulletproof definitions, 112, 186
- Call and response, attention signal, 33–34, 208
- Calling on students
 - on correct and incorrect answers, 66
 - strategies when students cannot answer, 60–63
 - See also* Volunteers
- Carlson, Gordon, 92
- Cause-and-effect structure of text, 95–96
- CFU. *See* Checking for Understanding
- Chall, Jeanne, 12, 205
- Checking for Understanding (CFU), 41–57
 - Ask a Specific Question, 47–48
 - benefits of, 43
 - checklist summary, 71
 - as cognitive strategy, 63–64
 - in Concept Development, 111, 114–115, 116, 118, 125
 - defined, 42

- EDI Circle, 41
- Effective Feedback, 56, 59–64. *See also*
 - Effective Feedback, in TAPPLE
- following modeling, 133
- Lesson Closure as final, 164
- in Lesson Relevance, 156–158
- Listen Carefully to Response, 56
- literacy approach, 149
- pair-share, 48–53. *See also* Pair-share,
 - in TAPPLE
- Pause, 48, 49
- Pick a Non-Volunteer, 53–56
- process questions in Skill Development
 - and Guided Practice, 138–139
- random selection of students, 53–54
- research on, 205, 208
- and reteaching. *See* Reteaching
- in sample lesson analysis, 194
- students answering questions, 46
- Teach First, 45–46
- timing of, 43–44
- using TAPPLE, 18, 45, 190
- well-crafted lessons, creating, 184,
 - 185, 189
- whiteboards in. *See* Whiteboards, in
 - Checking for Understanding
- Checklists
 - CFU using TAPPLE, 71
 - EDI lesson design, 202–204
- China, DataWORKS in, 6
- Chin-it, 35, 39, 66
- Chunking the standards, 80
- Circumference, calculating, 123
- Classroom instruction, research on, 3–7
- Classroom management
 - in pair-sharing, 49
 - tracked reading in, 26
 - whiteboards for, 64
 - See also* Discipline problems
- Closure of lesson, 17, 163–170
 - aiming for 80-100% success during,
 - 165, 172
 - Assessment-Type Closure, 165–166, 168
 - Checking for Understanding, 44
 - Concept Closure, 165
 - defined, 164
 - EDI Circle, 163
 - EDI lesson design checklist, 203–204
 - examples, 167–169
 - how to provide, 165–169
 - importance of, 164–165
 - Independent Practice after, 164, 170, 188
 - modify to plan for success after lesson, 175
 - research on, 210
 - in sample lesson analysis, 200
 - scaffolding options, 167
 - Skill Closure, 165, 168
 - Summary Closure, 166–167, 168
 - well-crafted lessons, creating, 188, 189
 - Cognitive strategy, CFU as a, 63–64
 - Collaborative learning, 208
 - College and career readiness, 17
 - Common Core State Standards, 4, 193
 - assessments provided for, 200, 201
 - on college and career readiness, 17
 - and Learning Objectives, 75, 82, 85
 - vocabulary Tier Words, 18, 19
 - Complete sentences
 - label partners and cue first speaker, 51–52
 - public voices, 38, 55
 - research on, 208
 - sentence frames, 37–38, 51
 - stand and deliver, 38, 55
 - student creation of, 38–39
 - as Student Engagement Norm, 37–39
 - students create their own, 52
 - in TAPPLE, 50–51
 - Concept(s)
 - Big Idea in Learning Objectives, 76, 78
 - using to Activate Prior Knowledge, 91
 - Concept Closure, 165
 - Concept Development, 16
 - EDI Circle, 105
 - EDI lesson design checklist, 203
 - example in literacy approach, 150, 151
 - research on, 209
 - in sample lesson analysis, 195, 196
 - well-crafted lessons, creating, 184, 186, 189
 - See also* Concept Development delivery;
 - Concept Development design
 - Concept Development delivery, 121–129
 - CFU questions, 125
 - demonstrating, 122
 - examples, 125–128
 - explaining, 121–122
 - labeled examples, 125
 - modeling, 121
 - physical objects, 122–123
 - reading the concept definitions, 124
 - steps for teaching, 124–125, 129
 - time spent on, 123–124
 - use Student Engagement Norms, 121
 - use TAPPLE, 121
 - Concept Development design, 105–120, 129
 - CFU questions, 111, 114–115, 116, 118
 - concepts in Learning Objectives, 106
 - content presentation in, 106
 - enhancements, 115–116
 - examples, 117–120
 - Explicit Direct Instruction in, 111–120

- importance of, 107–108
- ineffective, 109–111
- instructional materials not used, 110
- labeled examples, 111, 113–114, 116
- layout for student viewing, 116
- missing when lessons focus on skills or details, 109–110
- non-examples, 113, 118
- for state tests, 107–108
- for student generalization, 107
- teaching grade-level concepts, 108–109.
 - See also* Grade-level Learning Objectives
- teaching to fill out worksheets, 110–111, 189
- written definitions, 111, 112, 116
- Concept hooks, 112, 135
- Consolidation phase, 210
- Constructed Response Closure, in Lesson Closure, 168
- Content standards, 16, 74, 75, 78–79, 84–85, 185
- Content vocabulary, 18, 80, 116
- Context (conditions) in Learning Objectives, 76, 77, 78
- Corrective feedback. *See* Effective Feedback, in TAPPLE
- Creating well-crafted lessons, 183–192
 - Activating Prior Knowledge, 185–186, 189
 - Checking for Understanding, 184, 185
 - Closure, 188, 189
 - Closure, after, 188
 - Concept Development, 186, 189
 - creating EDI lessons from textbook, 184–188
 - creating your own EDI lessons, 188–191
 - EDI Circle, 183
 - example of, 1–2
 - explain, model, and demonstrate, 187–188
 - Guided Practice, 186, 189
 - Independent Practice, 184, 189
 - Learning Objective, 185, 189
 - Relevance, 188, 189
 - Rule of Two, 187
 - Skill Development, 186, 189
 - use EDI strategies all the time, 190
 - you have tools to change education, 190–191
- Cues, 60
- Curriculum Calibration, 4, 85
- Daily review, 180
- DataWORKS, 2–3, 4, 9
 - in Australia, 5–6
 - in China, 6
- on discipline and random Checking for Understanding, 56
- EDI for English Learners, 5
- going into the classroom to teach, 191–192
- instructional models, comparison of, 206
- on planning for success, 172
- on stand and deliver, 38
- on student engagement with Rule of Two, 136–137
- on time spent presenting content, 189
- on whiteboards, 34
- writing standards-based Learning Objectives, 82
- you have tools to change education, 190
- Declarative Knowledge
 - in Concept Development, 121, 186
 - literacy approach in science lesson, 150–151
 - long-term memory as purpose, 190
 - in science class, planning for success, 173
 - in Skill Development/Guided Practice, 148, 187
- Deconstruction of standards, 80–84
- De-escalation of question, 61–62
- Definitions, written
 - bulletproof, 112, 186
 - in Concept Development design, 111, 112, 116, 184, 186
 - reading with students, 124
 - in sample lesson analysis, 194, 195
- Delivery strategies of EDI lessons, 16, 17–19, 187–188, 193
- Demonstrating
 - in Concept Development delivery, 121, 122–123
 - research on physical demonstrations, 209
 - well-crafted lessons, creating, 187–188
- Desk arrangements, 32, 50
- Differentiation, 171–172, 210
- Direct instruction
 - research support of, 12–13, 205, 207
 - teacher-centered, 10, 11
- Directional Tracking, 207
- Directions, 77, 134–135
- Discipline problems, 33, 39, 43, 56
- Discovery
 - learning, 12. *See also* Inquiry learning, educational philosophy
 - of talented students, 9, 55
- Echo as former strategy in Effective Feedback, 56
- Echo reading, 24, 27
- EDI. *See* Explicit Direct Instruction (EDI)

- Educational Leadership* (Wolfe), 210
- Educeri, online EDI lesson bank, 5, 6, 7, 16, 19, 193, 196
- Effective Feedback, in TAPPLE, 56, 59–64
 planning for success, 174–175
 strategies when students cannot answer, 60–63
 students are not allowed to not know the answer, 60
 teach for 80% success, 59, 67
 whole-class errors vs. individual student errors, 59–60
- ELA. *See* English Language Arts
- Elaborate, in Effective Feedback, 56
- Elementary school
 Activating Prior Knowledge, 95–96, 98–101, 101–102
 Concept Development delivery, 125–128
 Concept Development design, 117–118, 119
 Learning Objectives presented, 86–87
 Lesson Closure, 168
 Lesson Relevance, 157–158
 letter sounds to help readers recognize words, 27
 planning for success in science class, 173
 Skill Development and Guided Practice, 137–138, 142–147
 track and read, 24–25, 27
- Engagement. *See* Student Engagement Norms
- English
 EDI for English Learners, 5, 6, 21–22
 language translation in pair-sharing, 49
 as a second or foreign language, 6
- English Language Arts (ELA)
 online curriculum, 7
 standards-based Learning Objectives for, 4, 81–82, 83
See also Language arts lessons
- English Language Development (ELD), 7, 82
- Equal opportunity to learn, 84–85, 176
- Errors by students
 sub-skill, 67
 whole-class vs. individual students, 59–60
- Evidence for author's argument, 96–98
- Exit Ticket, 188, 201
- Experience, Universal. *See* Universal Experience
- Explaining
 in Concept Development delivery, 121–122
 in Effective Feedback, 56
 and justifying answers, by students, 55–56
 by students, how they got their answer, 62
 well-crafted lessons, creating, 187–188
- Explicit Direct Instruction (EDI)
 beginnings of, 3–7, 11
 classroom use, 19
 Concept Development design, 111–120
 content presentation, 106
 defined, 16
 EDI Circle, 15, 16, 41, 73, 89, 105, 131, 153, 163, 171, 177, 183
 for English Learners, 5, 6, 21–22
 intervention approach, 175–176
 lesson delivery strategies, 16, 17–19, 187–188, 193
 lesson design checklist, 202–204
 lesson design components, 16–17
 literacy approach, 148–149
 as metacognitive teaching, 20
 planning for success, 174
 putting research into practice, 205
 as teacher-centered, direction instruction approach, 10, 11
 used in Activating Prior Knowledge, 94
 well-crafted lessons created from textbooks, 184–188
 well-crafted lessons created on your own, 188–191
 what it is not, 11, 12
See also Lessons
- Explicit Direct Instruction for English Learners* (Hollingsworth & Ybarra), 5, 22
- Extended Thinking, in Lesson Closure, 168, 201
- Facts and information. *See* Declarative knowledge
- Faking the stick, 63
- Feedback. *See* Effective Feedback, in TAPPLE
- Foreshadowing clues in text, 92
- Formulas, tracked reading of, 25–26
- Fractions, Activating Prior Knowledge for, 98–101
- Gagne, Robert, 206
- Gaps of what students know, 92
- Generalizations, 107
- Gesture with me, as Student Engagement Norm, 29–31
 cueing students, 30
 importance of gestures, 30–31
 research on, 208
 steps in, 29
 in teaching Concept Development, 124
 using to visualize text, 31

- Good, Thomas L., 206
- Grade-level Learning Objectives, 79, 84–85, 108–109, 176, 189
- Graphic organizers, 148–151
- Group work, 13
- Grouws, Douglas A., 206
- Guided Practice, 17, 131–152
 - Checking for Understanding, 44, 138–141
 - for declarative knowledge, 148
 - defined, 132
 - ECI Circle, 131
 - EDI lesson design checklist, 203
 - how to implement, 134
 - how to teach, 141–151
 - Learning Objectives and, 76
 - for procedural knowledge, 147–148
 - research on, 209
 - Rule of Two, 134–137
 - in sample lesson analysis, 195–199
 - variations, include all, 137–138
 - well-crafted lessons, creating, 184, 186, 189
 - whiteboards and worksheets, 138
- Handbook of Research on Teaching* (Rosenshine & Stevens), 12
- Hattie, John, 12
- Higher-order questions and thinking, 31, 38, 65, 114–115, 133, 156, 196
- High school
 - Concept Development design for, 120
 - Learning Objectives presented, 87–88
 - track and read, 25
- Hints, 60
- History/social science lessons
 - Activating Prior Knowledge for, 93
 - Concept Development for, 119, 123
 - example in literacy approach, 149–150
 - research support of direct instruction, 207
 - standards-based Learning Objectives for, 84
- Hollingsworth, John, 1–7, 191–192
- Homework. *See* Independent practice
- How the Brain Learns* (Sousa), 13, 207
- Hunter, Madeline, 205, 208
- Hyperbole, 92
- “I do, You do” strategy, 209
- “I’ll come back to you,” 61
- Importance, 17, 154. *See also* Relevance
- In-class interventions, 175–176, 180, 188
- Independent Practice, 177–180
 - defined, 178
 - EDI Circle, 177
 - EDI lesson design checklist, 204
 - in-class intervention during, 180
 - Learning Objectives and, 76–77, 84
 - Lesson Closure before, 164, 170
 - matching the lesson, 178
 - modify Closure to plan for success, 175
 - motivating students to do homework, 179
 - purpose of, 178–179
 - research on, 209, 210
 - in sample lesson analysis, 201–202
 - strategies for implementing, 180
 - from unstructured to structured, 180, 188
 - well-crafted lessons, creating, 184, 189
- Inferences, drawing from text, 142–147, 194–202
- Inquiry learning, educational
 - philosophy, 11
- Instructional approaches and models
 - comparisons, 205, 206
 - criteria and guidelines, 10–11
 - research support of direct, 3, 4, 12–13, 205
- Instructional Calibration, 4
- Instructional materials for Concept Development, 110
- Instruction, effective
 - criteria and guidelines for instructional approach, 10
 - examples of, 1–2
 - philosophies about education, 10–11
 - research on, 3–4, 12–13, 205
 - talent discovery *versus* talent development in, 9–10
 - teaching/learning dilemma in, 10
- Interactive lessons, 11, 43–44, 49
- Internal Rule of Two, 140–141, 196
- Interventions, in-class and out-of-class, 175–176, 180, 188
- Kinesthetics
 - with physical objects, in demonstrating, 122
 - pointing to the answer, 33, 52
 - See also* Gesture with me, as Student Engagement Norm; Whiteboards, as Student Engagement Norm
- Knowledge. *See* Activating Prior Knowledge (APK); Declarative Knowledge; Procedural Knowledge
- Labels/labeling
 - “attaching a label” to a concept, 91
 - “labeled examples” in Concept Development, 111, 113–114, 116, 125
 - matched problems in mathematics lessons, 136
 - partners in pair-share, 51–52

- Language arts lessons
 - CFU questions in Skill Development and Guided Practice, 139
 - and Concept Development, 110, 117–118, 125–128
 - Guided Practice for, 139–140
 - See also* English Language Arts (ELA)
- Language translation for beginning English speakers, 49
- Launch to Literacy* (Hollingsworth & Ybarra), 7
- Learning Objectives, 16, 73–88
 - Activating Prior Knowledge and, 91
 - in Concept Development, 106, 125
 - EDI Circle, 73
 - EDI lesson design checklist, 202
 - in Lesson Relevance, 155
 - pre-reading, 44
 - research on, 208
 - in sample lesson analysis, 194, 195
 - standards-based. *See* Standards-based Learning Objectives
 - well-crafted lessons, creating, 185, 189
 - See also* Learning Objectives, presenting to students; Learning Objectives, well-designed; Learning Objectives, writing standards-based
- Learning Objectives, presenting to students, 85–88
 - for elementary school, 86–87
 - for high school, 87–88
 - how to present, using Engagement Norms, 86
 - for middle school, 87
- Learning Objectives, well-designed, 75–79
 - components of, 76
 - concepts (big ideas), 76, 78
 - context (conditions), 77, 78
 - defined, 74–75
 - grade level, 79
 - skills (verbs), 76–77
 - standards-based, 16, 75–76, 78–79
- Learning Objectives, writing
 - standards-based, 4, 16, 79–85
 - deconstructing content standard into specific, 80
 - examples, 83–84
 - on grade level, 84–85
 - how to write, 80
 - from standards to Learning Objectives, 82
 - written for pre-existing work, 84
- Lecturing, 11
- Lesson Closure. *See* Closure of lesson
- Lesson Relevance. *See* Relevance
- Lessons
 - design and planning for success, 172
 - EDI lesson delivery strategies, 16, 17–19, 187–188, 193
 - EDI lesson design components, 16–17
 - example of well-crafted lesson, 1–2
 - interactive, 11, 43–44, 49
 - online, 6–7
 - See also* Creating well-crafted lessons; Explicit Direct Instruction (EDI); Learning Objectives; Sample lesson analysis
- Lessons on specific subjects
 - history. *See* History/social science lessons
 - language arts. *See* English Language Arts (ELA); Language arts lessons
 - mathematics. *See* Mathematics lessons
 - social science. *See* History/social science lessons
- Link to Literacy* (Hollingsworth & Ybarra), 7
- Listen Carefully to Response in TAPPLE, 56
- Literacy approach, 148–151
 - Checking for Understanding in, 149
 - examples, 149–151
 - Explicit Direct Instruction in, 148–149
 - importance of, 149
- Long-term memory of students, 63–64, 90, 164, 178–179, 190
- Main idea of paragraph, 112
- Marzano, Robert, 12
- Matched problems, 17, 135–138
- Mathematical equations, tracked reading of, 25–26
- Mathematics lessons
 - Activating Prior Knowledge for, 91, 93, 98–101
 - CFU questions in Skill Development and Guided Practice, 139
 - Concept Development for, 113–114, 119, 123, 128–129
 - gesture with me, perpendicular lines, 29
 - Guided Practice of, 137–138
 - labeling matched problems, 136
 - Lesson Relevance, 157
 - multiplication facts, 67, 179
 - research support of Concept Development, 209
 - research support of direct instruction, 207
 - standards-based Learning Objectives for, 80–81
 - whiteboard examples, 68–69
- Memorization, 63–64. *See also* Remembering
- Metacognitive teaching, EDI as, 20

- Middle school
 - Activating Prior Knowledge in, 96–98
 - Concept Development design for, 118
 - Learning Objectives presented, 87
 - Lesson Closure, 168–169
 - Lesson Relevance, 157
- Mirror problems, 136
- Modeling
 - Checking for Understanding, 133
 - in Concept Development delivery, 121
 - in Guided Practice, 132–133
 - importance of, 133
 - research on, 209
 - well-crafted lessons, creating, 187
- Multiple-choice answers, 61–62, 64
- Multiple Measures: Accurate Ways to Assess Student Achievement*, 3
- Multiplication facts, 67, 179

- National Council of Teachers of Mathematics (NCTM), 209
- The New Art and Science of Teaching* (Marzano), 12
- Next Generation Science Standards, 82, 193
- No Child Left Behind (2002), 3
- Non-volunteer, picking in TAPPLE, 53–56
- Note taking, 134
- Nouns, as concepts, 106

- Objectives. *See* Learning Objectives
- Online lessons and curriculum, 6, 7, 16.
 - See also* Educeri
- Opinions of students, 47
- Outcomes in learning, as
 - educational goal, 11
- Out-of-class interventions, 175–176
- Over learning, 179

- Pacing calendars and guides, 78, 181
- Pair-share, as Student Engagement Norm, 31–33
 - presenting Learning Objectives, 86
 - research on, 208
 - techniques for, 31–33
 - using sentence frames in complete sentences, 37–38
- Pair-share, in TAPPLE, 48–53
 - complete sentences, 50–51, 52
 - follow-up questions, 53
 - importance of, 48–50
 - label partners and cue first speaker, 51–52
 - length of time, 53
 - limit teacher help, 52
 - point to answer, 52
 - sentence frames, 51
 - strategies of, 50
 - when students cannot answer, 61
 - with whiteboards, 66
- PARCC (Partnership for Assessment of Readiness for College and Careers), 201
- Park your boards, 35
- Pause, and wait time, 48, 49
- Periodic Review, 103, 180–181, 185
 - EDI lesson design checklist, 204
 - research on, 210
- Personal Relevance, 154–155
- Philosophies about education, 10–11, 12–13, 205, 206
- Physical demonstrations.
 - See* Demonstrating
- Physical objects, in demonstrating, 122–123, 187
- Pick a Non-Volunteer in TAPPLE, 53–56
- Planning for success, 171–176
 - after the lesson, modify at Closure, 175
 - amount of content to teach, 174
 - anticipate difficult areas for students, 173
 - differentiation, 171–172
 - EDI Circle, 171
 - example, 173–174
 - in-class interventions, 175–176
 - length of lessons, 174
 - lesson design, 172
 - during the lesson, modify so students are successful, 174–175
 - out-of-class interventions, 175–176
 - response to intervention, 176
 - scaffolding, 171–172
 - before teaching, 172–174
- Point and explain in pair-sharing, 33, 52
- Popsicle sticks for random student selection, 54, 63, 86
- Practice. *See* Guided practice; Independent practice
- Pre-existing work, writing Learning Objectives for, 84
- Pre-reading, 23, 44
- Prior life experiences, 90
- Procedural Knowledge
 - in Concept Development delivery, 121–122
 - literacy approach in science lesson, 150–151
 - in Skill Development/Guided Practice, 147–148, 187
- Process improvement, 3
- Process questions, 133, 138–139
- Progressive philosophy about education, 11, 12
- Prompts, 60

- Pronounce with me, 22–23, 24, 207
- Public voices, 38, 55
- “Pull a stick,” to randomly select students, 54, 86
- Questions
 - Ask a Specific Question in TAPPLE, 47–48
 - CFU and higher-order, 114–115
 - follow-up questions in pair-share, 53
 - process, 133, 138–139
 - strategies when student cannot answer, 60–63
 - See also* Answers
- Random selection of students for CFU, 53–54
 - faking the stick, 63
 - presenting Learning Objectives, 86
 - random name generator apps, 23, 54
- Reading
 - choral, 23, 24, 207
 - literacy approach to learning, 148–151
 - rates, 24
 - research support of
 - direct instruction, 207
- Read with me, as Student Engagement Norm, 23, 86
 - air-tracking, 27
 - pre-reading, 23, 44
 - remembering improvement, 28
 - research on, 207
 - tracked reading formulas and math equations, 25–26
 - tracked reading importance, 28
 - tracked reading variations, 23–24
 - tracking reading procedure, 24
 - using letter sounds to help readers recognize words, 27
- Real-life Relevance, 155
- Relevance, 17, 153–161
 - academic, 155
 - CFU questions, 156–158
 - Checking for Understanding, 44
 - concrete reasons, 159
 - EDI Circle, 153
 - EDI lesson design checklist, 203
 - example of, 159–161
 - how to design Lesson Relevance, 155
 - how to teach Lesson Relevance, 158–161
 - importance of, 154
 - personal, 154–155
 - provide examples, 156
 - real-life, 155
 - research on, 210
 - in sample lesson analysis, 199, 200
 - student motivation, 154
 - well-crafted lessons, creating, 188, 189
 - when to teach, 154
 - written reasons and vocabulary, 155–156
- Remediation, 85, 108, 175, 189
- Remembering
 - improvement with Read with me, as Student Engagement Norm, 28
 - memorization, 63–64
 - in pair-sharing, 49
 - as purpose of Independent Practice, 178–179
 - repetition with declarative knowledge, 148
- Repetition for learning, 148, 178–179, 180–181
- Repetitive tasks in pair-sharing, 33
- Research
 - on classroom instruction, 3–7
 - on direct instruction, 12–13, 205, 207
 - instructional models, comparison of, 205, 206
 - put into practice with Explicit Direct Instruction, 205–210
 - on student achievement, 2–3
- Response to Intervention (RTI), 176, 210
- Reteaching
 - Activating Prior Knowledge by sub-skill review, 90
 - in Checking for Understanding, 49, 56, 185
 - in effective feedback, 60, 66, 69
- Retention of information. *See* Remembering
- Rosenshine, Barak, 12, 206
- Rowe, Mary Budd, 205
- RTI (Response to Intervention), 176, 210
- Rule of Two, 131–152
 - Activating Prior Knowledge, 94, 98
 - defined, 17, 132
 - examples, 136, 137–138
 - importance of, 136–137
 - Internal Rule of Two, 140–141, 196
 - planning for success, 172
 - research on, 209
 - in sample lesson analysis, 196
 - in Skill Development and Guided Practice, 135–137
 - use EDI strategies all the time, 190
 - well-crafted lessons, creating, 187
- Rules, as concepts, 106

- Sample lesson analysis, 193–204
- Activating Prior Knowledge, 194–195
 - Closure, 200
 - Concept Development, 195, 196
 - EDI lesson design checklist, 202–204
 - EDI lesson layout, 193–202
 - Guided Practice, 195–202
 - Independent Practice, 201–202
 - Learning Objective, 194
 - Relevance, 199, 200
 - Skill Development, 195–202
 - subscription service, Educera EDI, 193
 - Summary Closure, 201
- Sample word banks, 167
- Scaffolding, 167, 171–172, 210
- School reforms, 2, 3, 173, 191, 207
- Science lessons
- Concept Development for, 119–120, 123
 - example in literacy approach, 150–151
 - gesture with me, plate tectonics, 30
 - planning for success, 173–174
 - research support of direct instruction, 207
 - standards-based Learning Objectives for, 83
 - tracked reading in, 26
 - whiteboard example on plate tectonics, 70
- Scripted lessons, 12
- Sentence frames using complete sentences, 37–38, 51
- Sequential order text, 92
- Sharing between students. *See* Pair-share
- Skill Closure, 165, 168
- Skill Development, 17, 131–152
- CFU questions, 138–141, 149
 - and Concept Development design, 109–110
 - declarative knowledge lessons, 148
 - defined, 132
 - design, 134–138
 - ECI Circle, 131
 - EDI lesson design checklist, 203
 - literacy approach, learn by reading, 148–151
 - note taking, 134
 - procedural knowledge lessons, 147–148
 - research on, 209
 - Rule of Two, provide matched problems, 135–137
 - in sample lesson analysis, 195–199
 - slow release, 142–147
 - steps and directions, 134–135
 - teaching, 141–151
 - variations, include all, 137–138
 - well-crafted lessons, creating, 184, 186, 189
- Skills
- sub-skill errors, 67
 - sub-skill review, to Activate Prior Knowledge, 90, 92–94, 98–102, 189
 - using to Activate Prior Knowledge, 91
 - as Verb in Learning Objectives, 76–77
- Slavin, Robert E., 206
- Slow release, 142–147
- Smarter Balanced questions, 108–109, 200, 201
- Social science lessons. *See* History/social science lessons
- Sousa, David, 13, 207
- South Carolina Department of Education, 4
- Speed up or slow down dilemma, 10
- Stand and deliver, 38, 55
- Standards. *See* Common Core State Standards; Next Generation Science Standards; Testing
- Standards-based Learning Objectives
- content, 16, 74, 75, 78–79, 84–85, 185
 - creating well-crafted lessons using, 185, 189
 - writing, 79–85
- Steps, strategic, 134–135
- StepUP Academy, 5, 22
- Stevens, R., 12
- Strategic steps vs. directions, 134–135
- Strategic thinking, 132–133. *See also* Higher-order questions and thinking
- Structured Independent Practice, 180, 188
- Student engagement, creation of, 21
- Student Engagement Norms, 18, 21–40
- attention signal, 33–34
 - in Concept Development delivery, 121
 - gesture with me, 29–31
 - history of, 21–22
 - importance of, 39–40
 - in Lesson Relevance, 158
 - pair-share, 31–33
 - planning for success, 174
 - presenting Learning Objectives, 86
 - pronounce with me, 22–23
 - read with me, 23–28
 - research on, 207–208
 - in sample lesson analysis, 193
 - in Skill Development and Guided Practice, 141
 - track with me, 23
 - training students, 39
 - use complete sentences, 37–39
 - used in Activating Prior Knowledge, 94

- use EDI strategies all the time, 190
- whiteboards, 34–37
- Student motivation, and Relevance, 154
- Subscription service, Educeri EDI.
 - See Educeri
- Sub-skill errors, 67
- Sub-Skill Review, 90, 92–94, 98–102, 189
- Success to reach 80% and 100%, 59, 67, 165, 172
- Summary Closure, 17, 166–167, 168, 201
- Support Vocabulary, 19

- Talent discovery and talent development, 9–10, 55
- TAPPLE, 18, 45–57
 - Ask a Specific Question, 47–48
 - in Concept Development delivery, 121
 - Effective Feedback, 56
 - example of, 57
 - in Lesson Relevance, 158
 - Listen Carefully to Response, 56
 - Pair-Share, 48–53. *See also* Pair-share, in TAPPLE
 - Pause, 48
 - Pick a Non-Volunteer, 53–56
 - planning for success, 175
 - research on, 208
 - in Skill Development and Guided Practice, 141
 - Teach First, 45–46
 - use EDI strategies all the time, 190
- Teacher-centered, direct instruction, 10, 11
 - research on, 12–13, 205, 207
- Teach First in TAPPLE, 45–46, 57
- Teach for success (80% and 100%), 59, 67, 165, 172
- Teaching. *See* Delivery strategies
- Teach their partners in pair-sharing, 33
- Testing
 - Concept Development importance for, 107–108
 - goal shift from access to outcome, 11
 - high-stakes, 11, 80, 84, 107, 142, 165, 185, 198
- Textbooks
 - creating EDI lessons from, 184–188
 - instructional materials for Concept Development, 110
- Thinking
 - focus on, *vs.* directions, 135
 - See also* Higher-order questions and thinking
- Tiered instructional model, 176, 210
- Tier Two and Three Words, 18, 19

- Timing
 - Activating Prior Knowledge, 94
 - Checking for Understanding, 43–44
 - length of lessons, 174, 189
 - for teaching Concept Development, 123–124
- Touching the words, 23
- Tracked reading
 - air-tracking, 27
 - procedure, 24
 - reading formulas and math equations, 25–26
 - research on Directional Tracking, 207
 - tracked reading importance, 28
 - tracked reading variations, 23–24
 - training students in, 39
- Track with me, as Student Engagement Norm, 23, 86, 207

- Understanding, checking for. *See* Checking for Understanding (CFU)
- Universal Experience, 90, 91–92, 94–98, 189, 194
- Unstructured Independent Practice, 180

- Variations in problems, 137–138
- Verb (skill) in Learning Objectives, 76–77
- Verbs, as concepts, 106
- Visible Learning* (Hattie), 12
- Visualization of text, gesture with me, 31
- Vocabulary
 - Academic, 19, 49, 80, 208
 - content, 18, 80, 116
 - development of, 18–19, 116, 118
 - in sample lesson analysis, 194
 - in standards, 80
 - Support, 19
 - in written reasons for Relevance, 155–156
- Volunteers
 - for Lesson Relevance, 159
 - strategic use of, 54

- Wait time, 48, 49, 205, 208
- Warm-up problems, 103, 180, 185
- Well-crafted lessons. *See* Creating well-crafted lessons
- Well-designed Learning Objectives. *See* Learning Objectives
- Whiteboards, as Student Engagement Norm, 34–37
 - apps for, 36–37
 - chin-it, 35
 - for nonwriters, 35–36

- park your boards, 35
- research on, 208
- use papers as, 35
- Whiteboards, in Checking for Understanding, 64–70
 - advantages of, 64
 - example, 68–70
 - how to use, 65–66
 - summary, 67
 - when to use, 64–65
- Wolfe, Pat, 210
- Working memory of students, 178–179. *See also* Long-term memory of students
- “Working the page,” 125–126
- Worksheets, 110–111, 138, 189
- Written definitions
 - in Concept Development design, 111, 112–113, 116, 184, 186
 - reading with students, 124
- Written reasons for Relevance, 155–156
- Ybarra, Silvia, 2–7, 191–192